

Results of Re-survey on Errors in Report on Results of Comprehensive Evaluation (Primary Evaluation) regarding Safety of Units 1 and 7 of Kashiwazaki-Kariwa Nuclear Power Station (Overview)

1. Contents of Review

For the whole contents of report, we reviewed existence of errors including numerical data relating to the evaluation and description relating to findings which did not relate to the evaluation.

2. Overview of the Re-Survey

Our department in charge of quality control created a basic principle for quality control to be conducted. This principle set up an implementation structure and roles in the re-survey, methodologies for the re-survey conducted by the survey team, and a guarantee methodology for appropriateness by the department in charge of quality control.

Reflecting check points identified in the previous survey, the survey team conducted the re-survey based on the basic principle such as confirmation of the correspondence between sources and the report and confirmed that errors had been appropriately corrected. Besides, we conducted double-check by persons who were not involved in creating the report.

According to the guarantee methodology made based on the basic principle, the department of quality control conducted interviews with the members of the survey team, checked that the process of re-survey was conducted properly through the list of errata and confirmed that proper correction was done.

3. Results of Re-survey

We conducted the re-survey for the report on Unit 1 and Unit 7. As a result, we newly found 81 errors in addition to 158 errors found in the previous report on February 1, 2012. The total errors were 239 at this moment. In the breakdown, 118 errors (newly 37 errors) were found for Unit 1, 121 errors (newly 44 errors) were found for Unit 7.

40 errors, out of 239 errors*, in numerical data relating to the evaluation were found. However, we confirmed that those errors did not affect the evaluation results.

* Same errors in other pages are counted separately.

4. Prevention Countermeasures

We identified the causes for errors through the re-survey as follows.

- Case that a protocol for creating a report was not clear or not informed enough.
- Case that reference to original sources was not appropriate, etc.

Because it was the first time for us to create such evaluation report, our plan for creating the report was not enough to prevent such errors.

To prevent errors in a comprehensive evaluation report regarding safety, from now we will take necessary countermeasures such as setting up necessary structure in a planning stage and a protocol for creating a report in a drafting stage, and clarifying check points in a finalizing stage.

Besides, prevention countermeasures will be reviewed through NISA if necessary.

End