



## Independent Assurance Report

To the President of Tokyo Electric Power Company Holdings, Incorporated

We were engaged by Tokyo Electric Power Company Holdings, Incorporated (the “Company”) to undertake a limited assurance engagement of the environmental and social performance indicators marked with a red star ★ (the “Indicators”) for the period from April 1, 2022 to March 31, 2023 included in its “ESG Data 2023 – Environmental Data” and “ESG Data 2023 – Social Data” (the “Environmental and Social Data”) for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2023.

### **The Company’s Responsibility**

The Company is responsible for the preparation of the Indicators in accordance with its own reporting criteria (the “Company’s reporting criteria”), as described in the Environmental and Social Data.

### **Our Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express a limited assurance conclusion on the Indicators based on the procedures we have performed. We conducted our engagement in accordance with the ‘International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3000, Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information’ and the ‘ISAE 3410, Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements’ issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. The limited assurance engagement consisted of making inquiries, primarily of persons responsible for the preparation of information presented in the Environmental and Social Data, and applying analytical and other procedures, and the procedures performed vary in nature from, and are less in extent than for, a reasonable assurance engagement. The level of assurance provided is thus not as high as that provided by a reasonable assurance engagement. Our assurance procedures included:

- Interviewing the Company’s responsible personnel to obtain an understanding of its policy for preparing the Environmental and Social Data and reviewing the Company’s reporting criteria.
- Inquiring about the design of the systems and methods used to collect and process the Indicators.
- Performing analytical procedures on the Indicators.
- Examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the generation, aggregation and reporting of the Indicators in conformity with the Company’s reporting criteria, and recalculating the Indicators.
- Visiting the Company’s Saitama Center selected on the basis of a risk analysis.
- Evaluating the overall presentation of the Indicators.

### **Conclusion**

Based on the procedures performed, as described above, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the Indicators in the Environmental and Social Data are not prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Company’s reporting criteria as described in the Environmental and Social Data.

### **Our Independence and Quality Management**

We have complied with the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants, which includes independence and other requirements founded on fundamental principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behavior. In accordance with International Standard on Quality Management 1, we design, implement and operate a system of quality management including policies or procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

Kazuhiko Saito, Partner, Representative Director

KPMG AZSA Sustainability Co., Ltd.

Tokyo, Japan

December 6, 2023